IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2301 OF 2004
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3381 OF 2001.

Dr.Vijay Shantaram Prdahan. ... Applicant.
Versus.
V.Y.Thakur & others. ... Respondents.

Shri P.G.Karande for the Applicant.

None for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 20th JANUARY, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Karande for the Applicant. He states that the Respondent No.1 has been duly served with the notice of this Application. He pointed out that the Respondent No.1 is also duly served with the notice of the Writ Petition and no Advocate is representing him in the Writ Petition. He stated that the injunction as prayed for against the Respondent No.1 was operating during the pendency of the suit in the Court of Small Court at Mumbai.

2. The statement made by Shri Karande is accepted. Accordingly the Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

Judge.